United States District Court

for the District of North Carolina Western United States of America v. Case No: 5:21-cr-55-KDB-DCK-1/5:16-cr-13-KDB-DCK-2 Crystal Gail Stikeleather USM No: 32732-058 Date of Original Judgment: 01/06/2022 Date of Previous Amended Judgment: (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any) Defendant's Attorney ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)** Upon motion of ⊠ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is: \boxtimes DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of months is reduced to (See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 01/06/2022 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. Signed: February 8, 2024 United States District Judge Effective Date: Kenneth D. Bell Printed name and title (if different from order date)

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

DEFENDANT: Crystal Gail Stikeleather	_		
5:21-cr-55-KDB-DCK-1/5:16-cr-13-KDB-CASE NUMBER: DCK-2			
DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina	- -		
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GU The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline in the previous term of imprisonment imposed was less to	Amended Total Offense Level: _Criminal History Category: _Amended Guideline Range:		
time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance is comparably less than the amended guideline range. The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.	_	na me reduced s	semence

III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See Chavez-Meza v. United States, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))

The amendments to U.S.S.G. §4A1.1 and §4C1.1 in Amendment 821 do not change Defendant's criminal history points or criminal history category. Defendant is ineligible for relief in the revocation sentence imposed in 5:16-cr-13-KDB-DCK-2 as it did not include any status points or zero points. In 5:21-cr-55-KDB-DCK-1, Defendant had 5 criminal history points before the addition of the 2 status points. (Doc. No. 14, ¶¶ 45-46). With the removal of the 2 status points (because she had 6 points or fewer), criminal history points of 5 equals a criminal history category of III. With an Offense Level of 31 and a criminal history category of III, the sentencing guideline range would be 135-168 months which is what the Court utilized at sentencing. (Doc. No. 25 at 1). The Court at sentencing varied downward to a sentence of 120 months, the statutory minimum sentence. *Id.* at 3. Amendment 821 provides no relief to Defendant.